

agar) was used as an isolation culture medium. In addition, it was used to determine over-oxidation or sub-oxidation of ethanol in the isolates. Broth GYE supplemented with different ethanol and acetic acid concentrations and without calcium carbonate and green bromocresol was also used to test the growth and acetic acid production under different conditions (section 2.5 and 2.6).

2.3. Isolation procedures and preservation of bacteria

Five mL of each vinegar sample was inoculated into each flask containing enrichment culture media. They were then incubated at 30 and 38°C for 2-10 days on a shaker (120 rpm). Growth was monitored by checking the turbidity (OD_{540nm}). If OD_{540nm} was higher than one, 100 μ L of the culture media suspension was transferred to the solid culture media (GYE agar) and incubated at 30°C and 38°C.

Isolates were selected on the basis of colony and/or cellular morphologies; consequently, the probability of isolating different species from the samples increases irrespectively to their relative presence (Gullo et al., 2006).

The purified isolates were stored in Microbank™ vials at -80°C for long term storage according to the procedures presented by the PRO-LAB Company.

2.4. Molecular and biochemical identification of bacterial isolates

Morphological, biochemical and molecular tests were done to confirm that the isolated bacteria belong to acetic acid bacteria (AAB).

Morphological characteristics including shape, size, arrangement, Gram staining and motility were determined from cells grown at 30°C on GYE agar under aerobic condition (Cleenwerck et al., 2002). Overoxidation capacity was tested by using the chalk-ethanol test of Carr and Passmore (Brenner et al., 2005). Carbohydrate assimilation tests were determined using API 50

CH Stripes (BioMerieux) (Ndoye et al., 2006).

Molecular identification was done based on 16s rRNA gene sequencing. Total genomic DNA of the selected isolates was extracted from fresh growing cultures on YGM/Mg⁺² by using an extraction kit from the NucleoSpin® Company.

PCR amplification of the 16s rRNA gene was performed based on the procedures previously described by Ndoye, et al. (2006). Analysis of sequence was done by using the Blast program from GenBank and was compared with others available in the GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ database via BLASTN from NCBI and then aligned with reference sequences included in those databases.

The phylogenetic relationships among species were analyzed based on 16S rRNA gene sequences of 1,384 bases using the neighbor-joining (NJ) approach listed in the MEGA (Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis) version X software. For NJ analysis, the distance between sequences was calculated using Kimura's two parameter model. Bootstrap values were obtained for 1,000 randomly generated trees.

2.5. Nutritional requirements of isolated bacteria

To obtain isolates capable of growing and producing acetic acid in minimal culture media, two minimal culture media (GYE₅ and GYE₂) were used. GYE₅ contained: (NH₄)₂HPO₄ 0.7 gL⁻¹, MgSO₄ 0.2 gL⁻¹, glucose monohydrate 2 gL⁻¹, yeast extract 5 gL⁻¹ and ethanol 50 gL⁻¹. GYE₂ has the same composition except that it contained 2 gL⁻¹ yeast extract. All the components were sterilized at 121 °C for 20 min. except the glucose which was sterilized separately.

2.6. Determination of growth and concentrations of acetic acid, ethanol and glucose

Bacterial growth was monitored by measuring absorbance at 540nm against a non-inoculated medium as a blank (Ndoye et al., 2006).

Acetic acid concentration, glucose and ethanol

concentration in vinegar samples and culture media in the end of fermentation were determined by high performance liquid chromatography. Culture samples were collected and centrifuged (3000 ×g, 10 min). The supernatants were then filtered through a 0.2 µm cellulose acetate membrane (Sartorius Minisart). The HPLC analyses were performed using an Agilent 1110 series HPLC equipped with a Supelcogel C 610H column preceded by a Supelguard H pre-column (oven temperature 40 °C) and a differential refraction index detector (RID, detection cell maintained at 35°C). An isocratic mobile phase consisting of 0.1 % H₃PO₄ (in MilliQ water) was used at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. The method lasted for 35 min at a maximum pressure of 60 bars (Shafiei, et al. 2017; Shafiei, et al. 2013).

2.7 Acetous fermentation

To test the ability of selected isolate to oxidized ethanol to acetic acid, the fermentation process was performed in a Lab-scale bioreactor (Bio Lafitte, France), with a total volume of 20 L and a working volume of 15 L. The bioreactor was equipped with three probes used for monitoring temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen. A cross-shaped sparger and two marine-blade impellers (left-handed orientation) were used to aerate the culture medium. The air inlet was set at 1vvm. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration was regulated automatically by changing the impeller rotation speed based on the oxygen demand during the growth of bacteria. Since acetic acid bacteria are strict aerobes, two critical thresholds were set for the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration: 45% and 15% for cultivation under high DO and low DO concentrations, respectively, and the pH was monitored but not regulated. Temperature was set at 37 ±0.5°C.

A minimal culture media was used for acetous fermentation. It contained 2 gL⁻¹ glucose, 2 gL⁻¹ yeast extract, 1 gL⁻¹ (NH₄)₂ HPO₄, 5% (w/v) ethanol, 0.5% (w/v) acetic acid.

The bioreactor was inoculated by pre-culture (fresh cells, OD_{540nm} about 1.5) prepared in a 5L

baffled flask containing 800 mL minimal culture medium under aerobic condition (130 rpm) at 37°C.

After inoculation, the pH, ethanol concentration, acetic acid concentration and biomass were determined by the methods previously explained.

2.9. Flow cytometric analysis

Cells withdrawn from the bioreactor were harvested (5000 ×g, 10 min, 30°C) and washed with Phosphate buffer solution (50 mM, pH 7.4). The washed cells were suspended in fresh GYE broth containing 5% (w/v) ethanol as the main carbon source. Cell viability was determined using Thiazole orange (TO) and 5-cyano-2, 3-ditolylyl tetrazolium chloride (CTC) as fluorescent dyes according to the protocol previously explained and used by Shafiei et al. (2013).

2.8. Statistical analysis

The data presented in the “acetous fermentation” section are the means of at least two independent fermentation runs.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of vinegar samples

Various types of Iranian vinegar produced from different fruits were collected from different regions and analyzed. Generally, residual glucose concentration was low meaning that ethanol fermentation was done properly. Most of the vinegars had a low concentration of residual ethanol; however, some types of vinegar (such as A1, G1, G2, G4, R1, etc.) contained higher concentrations of residual ethanol (Supplementary files: Table 1S).

3.2. Isolation of bacteria and growth in different culture media

A total of 28 AAB were isolated from

different fruits were collected from different regions and analyzed. Generally, residual glucose concentration was low meaning that ethanol fermentation was done properly. Most of the vinegars had a low concentration of residual ethanol; however, some types of vinegar (such as A1, G1, G2, G4, R1, etc.) contained higher concentrations of residual ethanol (Supplementary files: Table 1S).

3.2. Isolation of bacteria and growth in different culture media

A total of 28 AAB were isolated from traditional vinegars using different enrichment and isolation media at 30 and 38°C. Thirteen isolates originated from grape vinegar and 15 isolates were isolated from other types of vinegar. We could not isolate acetic acid bacteria from some samples even after enrichment in different culture media (Supplementary files: Table 1S). In addition, it seems that some vinegar samples with low concentrations of ethanol (<0.25% w/v) and also old vinegars had lower bacterial population; therefore, a long period of enrichment (about 10 days) was needed to observe detectable growth. Isolation of bacteria needs different kinds of culture media to ensure that all the present bacteria have the ability to grow. GYE medium can support the growth of all acetic acid bacteria (Gullo et al., 2006; Gullo et al., 2008; Gullo et al., 2012). However, even after a long incubation under optimum conditions, we could not detect the growth of acetic acid bacteria in some types of vinegar samples, especially in older ones (age>3 years). This can be explained on the basis that AAB are fastidious microorganisms and their cultivation on synthetic culture media is difficult. In addition, the suggested culture media are not able to support the growth of all AAB (Gullo et al., 2006). Moreover, it has been proven that storage conditions affect the culturability of AAB, for example AAB proliferated in bottled wine are more difficult to isolate and cultivate

(Bartowsky et al., 2008). The phenomenon of viable but non-culturable (VBNC) cells has been ascribed to AAB where their population is very often under estimated (Bartowsky et al., 2008; Shafiei, et al., 2013; Shafiei, Delvigne, & Thonart, 2013). Some methods, such as epifluorescence staining techniques, Real time PCR and DGGE electrophoresis, have been described for detection and enumeration of AAB in foods (Bartowsky et al., 2008; De Vero et al., 2008) whereas double layer-agar and AE-Medium has partially reduced the problem of isolation and cultivation of AAB (Sokollek et al., 1998). Thus, it seems that in the cases where we could not isolate bacteria from the vinegar sample, bacteria were either in a VBNC state or other cultivation techniques were necessary.

Principally, higher temperature (38°C) inhibited the growth of AAB. Just two vinegar samples contained AAB bacteria which could grow in GYE broth at 38°C after 10 days.

All isolated bacteria formed colonies and produced acetic acid in GYE agar. Accordingly, they dissolved CaCO₃ and formed halo zones around the colonies after 24-48 h at 30 °C. They could also convert the indicator color from blue to yellow. The yellow color disappeared after a few days and was converted to blue after a long incubation at 30 °C indicating acetate over-oxidation (the utilization of acetate by bacteria).

Nine of the isolated bacteria grew slowly (longer than 120 h) on GYE agar and formed small yellow halo around their colonies due to low concentration of acetic acid at 30 °C; their growth on AE agar were also restricted at 30 °C and even after long incubation small colonies appeared on AE agar. Moreover, they exhibited slow growth and acetic acid production on YGM/Mg²⁺. Therefore, due to their slower growth rate, they were ignored for further studies. The rest of the isolates (19 isolates) (Supplementary files: Table 2S) were able to grow and produce acetic acid at different temperatures.

3.3. Nutritional requirement of isolated bacteria

Referring to the previous points above, most of the isolates showed considerable growth in enriched media, such as AE, GYE agar and YGM/Mg²⁺, at 30 °C. In some preliminary experiments, glucose, peptone and yeast extract content of the GYE agar medium were decreased step by step. The results showed that the growth of all isolates was influenced moderately by decreasing the glucose concentration. It was also observed that the isolated bacteria grew and produced acetic acid very slowly in the absence of glucose. As indicated by Gullo et al. (2008), the *Gluconobacter* species, *Acetobacter* species, and *Gluconoacetobacter* species are the most isolated bacteria that are responsible for vinegar production as well as wine and beer spoilage. In the present study, the isolated and selected bacteria belong to the *Acetobacter* genera, and all of them have been previously detected in various fermented products (Gullo et al., 2008). In addition, it was proven that all the selected isolates are over-oxidizers meaning that they can consume acetic acid as a carbon source in the absence or low concentration of ethanol. Although, over-oxidation is not a desirable feature for strains used in vinegar production, it can be inhibited by changing the environment condition. Thus, it seems that this feature does not cause a problem for vinegar production.

The best carbon sources for *Acetobacter* sp., in descending order are ethanol, glycerol, and lactate (Brenner et al., 2005; Tesfaye et al., 2002). In this study, it was observed that glucose depletion in rich culture medium (GYE agar) had moderate effect on growth and acetic acid production.

Peptone depletion adversely affected the growth and activity of some isolates whereas yeast extract depletion (in the presence of peptone) did not influence growth and acetic acid production. In the present study, isolated bacteria grew well in culture media supplemented with peptone and

yeast extract, but most of them showed slow growth in the culture media with low concentration of organic nitrogen sources. The nitrogen source is essential for acetic acid bacteria during fermentation, and it has great influence on the course of fermentation. The nitrogen source also considerably affects stress acclimation of acetic acid bacteria during vinegar fermentation (Álvarez-Cáliz et al., 2012). From an economic point of view, peptone cannot be used in vinegar production on an industrial scale. On the other hand, it causes turbidity in the final product (Ndoye, et al., 2007; Ndoye, et al., 2007), and therefore strains which are capable of growth in minimal media are desired. Yeast extract and ammonia can be used together to supply nitrogen sources; however, in this study it was shown that most of the isolates, except for L31, failed to adapt to this condition.

Among nineteen isolates presented in Table 2S, L31 and *Acetobacter senegalensis* LMG 23690 (the thermo-tolerant reference strain) were able to grow moderately and convert ethanol to acetic acid effectively in GYE₂ agar (GYE containing 2 g l⁻¹ yeast extract).

3.4. Growth in different concentrations of ethanol

All the isolates were tested in solid culture media (GYE agar) to screen those which were able to grow at high temperature. Results showed that growth at high temperature was dependent on ethanol and acetic acid concentrations. All the isolates grew in GYE agar containing less than 4% (w/v) ethanol and 0% (w/v) acetic acid at 30-40°C. It was shown that 5% (w/v) ethanol is the maximum ethanol concentration in broth medium that can be tolerated by some isolated bacteria. Higher ethanol concentrations (6-10 % (w/v)) caused a dramatic fall in growth and the rate of acetic acid production. Just nine isolates, particularly L31, were able to grow and produce acetic acid on GYE agar containing 5% (w/v) ethanol at 30-40°C (Table 2S).

3.5. Biochemical and molecular identification

Biochemical and molecular identification were performed on the nine isolates explained in the previous sections. All of these were Gram negative, with single or paired cocci on GYE agar. Elongated and filamentous shapes were observed, especially during cultivation of some isolates in the broth culture media. All the isolated bacteria formed pale to light cream colonies.

HPLC analysis revealed that all the isolates were able to convert ethanol to acetic acid. They used lactate and acetate as a carbon source and converted acetate to CO₂ and H₂O after a few days. Other biochemical tests, such as oxidase, catalase, growth in the presence of high glucose concentrations, ammonium utilization, different

carbohydrates assimilation and ethanol utilization, revealed that all isolates belong to AAB (Supplementary file: Table 3S).

Molecular identification done for L31 showed that it belongs to the *Acetobacter* genus. A nearly complete 16S rRNA gene sequence of L31 (1384 nucleotides) was obtained. Based on the constructed phylogenetic tree (Figure 1), *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) completely resembles *Acetobacter tropicalis* Ni-6B. The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for 16S rRNA, of *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) is MK100347. *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) was deposited in the microbial culture collection at the University of Isfahan (Deposit number ISF2016121).




Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of *Acetobacter* strain isolated from apple vinegar. The phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences was constructed by MEGA X software and the neighbor-joining (NJ) method. Numerals at nodes indicate bootstrap values (%) derived from 1,000 replications. *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* PAI5 was used as an outgroup.

3.6. Growth and acetic acid production at high temperature

All the isolates were tested in the solid culture media (GYE agar) to screen those which were able to grow at high temperature. Results showed that the selected isolates and the thermo-

tolerant reference strain, *Acetobacter senegalensis* (LMG 23690) exhibited substantial growth and acetic acid production at 30 °C in GYE broth (Table 2S). At 37°C, there was a dramatic decline in growth and acetic acid production for all the isolates except for *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31).

At temperatures above 37°C, growth and acetic acid production of *A. tropicalis* (L31) and *A. senegalensis* were significantly dependent on the initial ethanol and acetic acid concentration (Table 1). However, *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) and *A. senegalensis* (LMG 2369) grew and produce acetic acid at 37.5 °C in GYE broth supplemented with 5 % (w/v) ethanol.

Growth on the solid culture media at 37 °C (Supplementary file: Table 2S) showed that most of isolated bacteria could tolerate ethanol up to 5 % (w/v), but in the broth culture media they did not exhibit such growth and acetic acid production (comparison between Table 2S and Table 1). By comparing the growth of isolated bacteria in the presence of ethanol on the solid culture media and broth culture media, it seems that the solid culture media can only be used for a preliminary screening and selection whereas tolerance to ethanol and high temperature must be tested in the broth culture media. In fact, the evaporation of ethanol during preparation and incubation of the solid culture media may cause

a dramatic decline in ethanol concentration; hence, the results are not comparable in the two different media (solid and broth media).

It was also appears that increasing the temperature up to 34°C has a moderate effect on the growth and acetic acid production of all selected isolates and reference strain (*A. senegalensis*) (Table 2s and 1), but at temperature above 35°C growth of non-thermo-tolerant acetic acid bacteria (AAB) decreased sharply. In other words, it seems that limited temperature fluctuations (Table 1) can influence the concentration of ethanol which can be tolerated by a certain strain. This fact must be considered by researchers in explanation of the potential of isolates because in large scale acetous fermentation temperature fluctuation is inevitable. Therefore, on one hand, isolates which are going to be used in industrial vinegar production must be able to tolerate higher concentrations of ethanol and acetic acid, but on the other hand, their performance must not be effected by a few temperature fluctuations.

Table 1. Comparison of growth and acetic acid production of *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) in GYE broth with two reference strains (*A. senegalensis* and *A. aceti*) at different temperatures and ethanol concentrations.

Culture medium	GYE broth																								
	2.5	3.5	4.5	5	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	5	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	5	2.5	3.5	4.5	5	2.5	3.5	4.5	5			
Ethanol concentration (% w/v)	30 °C					35.5 °C					36.5 °C					39 °C					42 °C				
<i>A. tropicalis</i> (L31)	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-			
<i>A. senegalensis</i> (LMG 23690)	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-			
<i>A. aceti</i> (LMG 1535)	++	++	++	++	++	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-			

(++): Good growth and acetic acid production. (+): Slow growth and acetic acid production. (+/-): Weak or no growth

(++): Good growth and acetic acid production. (+): Slow growth and acetic acid production. (+/-): Weak or no growth

3.7. Acetous fermentation

To evaluate the ability of the selected isolate, *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31), to oxidize ethanol to acetic acid, acetous fermentation was performed in a Lab-bioreactor using minimal culture medium at 37°C. In the first step, we studied vinegar production in batch fermentation mode. A semi-continuous fermentation was then used to evaluate the ability of cells to oxidize ethanol continuously. In addition, the vitality (performance) of *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) to low dissolved oxygen was also determined. In most modern industrial vinegar production units, submerge semi-continuous fermentation or submerge continuous fermentation are the main methods used. In this mode of fermentation vinegar production continues in sequential cycles (Gullo et al., 2014). Our results showed that cells were progressively acclimated to the harsh conditions (low pH and high acetic acid concentration) of fermentation, and consequently, the number of cells increased in the second fermentation cycle. Different criteria, such as acclimation to specific raw materials, rapid acidification, and phage resistance, are considered in the selection of industrial starter cultures (Gullo et al., 2014).

Based on the obtained results, *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) started to grow in minimal medium with a short lag phase (about 4 h), if the inoculum had been cultivated in culture medium containing the same composition, *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) oxidized 5% (w/v) ethanol to acetic acid in the presence of initial 0.5% (w/v) acetic acid at 37°C (Figure 2). Although, acetic acid was produced mainly during stationary phases, it was also produced during the exponential phase. As Figure 2 shows, a great part of glucose was not consumed by the bacterial cells when ethanol was available as a carbon source. In addition, gluconic acid was not produced during acetous fermentation by *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31). At the end of fermentation, the final concentration of acetic acid was 48 gL⁻¹ (4.8% w/v). Thus, with regard to the initial concentration of acetic acid (5 gL⁻¹), the yield was 87%.

As it is shown in Figure 2, each acetous fermentation cycle lasted about 34 h under high dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration (45%) (Figure 2). It was revealed that the length of the lag phase and production time was completely dependent on DO concentration and also acclimation of cells to fermentation condition.




Figure 2. Batch acetous fermentation by *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) in minimal medium at 37°C.

Glucose is not consumed by cells in the presence of ethanol. In addition, cells produced the major part of acetic acid during the stationary phase.

We used the semi-continuous fermentation mode to produce vinegar by *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31). Oxidation of 50 gL⁻¹ ethanol lasted about 40 h during the first cycle (Figure 3). On average, 1.3 gL⁻¹h⁻¹ acetic acid was produced during the production phase (10th-36th h) of the first cycle.

Interestingly, using some of the cells from the first cycle (10% of working volume) as a starter for the second cycle decreased the ethanol oxidation time to 29 h during the second cycle (41st to 62nd h). On average, 2.36 gL⁻¹h⁻¹ acetic acid was produced during the production phase (46th-62nd h) of the

second cycle. In the same way, the third cycle (63rd-82nd h) was completed in 28 h, and on average 2.73 gL⁻¹h⁻¹ acetic acid was produced during the production phase (68th-82nd h). This means that acclimation to acidic conditions may increase the capacity of cells to consume ethanol fast.

The total number of cells in each fermentation cycle was also dependent on acclimation of cells to the condition of acetous fermentation. As Figure 3 shows, the total number of cells by microscopic count was 2.02×10^8 mL⁻¹ at the end of the exponential phase of the first cycle. However, it increased to 3.5×10^8 mL⁻¹ at the end of exponential phase of the second and third cycles.




Figure 3. Semi-continuous acetous fermentation by *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) in minimal culture medium at 37°C under high dissolved oxygen concentration. Fermentation was done in Lab-scale bioreactor (working volume 15 L) in three sequential cycles (0-41st h, 41st-61st h and 61st-82nd h). In the end of each cycle, 13.5 L of culture medium was withdrawn, and replaced by fresh culture medium. Cells grew and produced acetic acid faster during the second and third cycles.

Sensitivity of cells to oxygen deficiency was also determined using CTC as a marker for cell viability (total dehydrogenases activity). As it is seen in Figure 4a, the number of viable cells decreased sharply after interruption of oxygen, and just 28% of cells were capable of CTC reduction after harvesting from the bioreactor. This means that cells grown in high dissolved oxygen (Figure 3) are very sensitive to oxygen deficiency.

It was also shown that *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) was able to produce acetic acid in minimal

medium in batch and semi-continuous mode in low dissolved oxygen. If the dissolved oxygen concentration decreased to 15%, the lag phase increased considerably (7 h). In addition, low concentration of oxygen influenced the length of the production phase, and increased it to 52 h during the first cycle. Interestingly, in low DO concentration the total number of cells increased and reached 2.74×10^8 cells mL⁻¹. However, compared to the growth of *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) in the presence of high DO, (Figure 3), the acetification rate was significantly lower (1.24 gL⁻¹

$^1\text{h}^{-1}$) in the production phase (stationary phase). Conversely, as Figure 4b shows, when cultivating cells under low DO concentration almost all the cells (91%) remained viable if oxygen flow was interrupted, indicating that cells grown in low oxygen concentration can efficiently tolerate oxygen depletion. There have been many studies about the susceptibility of AAB to DO deficiency done in the past years (Qi et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2018). Generally, oxygen deficiency can enhance the effect of stress during acetous fermentation (Gullo et al., 2014). In contrast, proper oxygen supply can improve cell tolerance (Zheng et al., 2018). In this study, we evaluated the effect of oxygen flow interruption on total cellular dehydrogenases as a viability indicator. It was also observed that tolerance to O_2 deficiency was dependent on the rate of oxygen supply during acetous fermentation. In other words, cells were very vulnerable to oxygen deficiency if they were grown under high oxygen concentration. In AAB, O_2 acts as a final electron acceptor. O_2 consumption results in the formation of ATP production. Acetic acid readily traverses the cell membranes, and enter cytoplasmic space.

We observed that *A. tropicalis* (L31) acclimated readily to a minimal culture medium meaning that it is possible to use it for sprite vinegar production. In addition, in vinegar fermentation, the acetification rate is directly associated with bacterial alcohol oxidation ability (Qi et al., 2014). *A. tropicalis* (L31) produced 2.3-2.7 $\text{gL}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ acetic acid by ethanol oxidation at 37°C . With regard to the high temperature (37°C), this acetification rate is in the normal range (Lee et al., 2016; Qi et al., 2014).

Intracellular ATP has adverse effects on cell viability (Hutkins, 2006). ATP is necessary to get rid of adverse effects of intracellular acetic acid. Sufficient oxygen supply enhanced ethanol oxidation and acetic acid production (Zheng et al., 2018). Since the acetification rate was much lower in low DO concentration, it seems that the tolerance of cells to oxygen flow interruption is a function of acetic acid concentration. In other words, low DO concentration caused lower acetic acid production. Then, by interruption of oxygen flow, cells were able to tolerate lower concentration of acetic acid.




Figure 4. Viability of *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) during semi-continuous fermentation. Cells were harvested from bioreactor, washed and incubated in the presence of CTC. a) Shows the viability of cells grown under high dissolved oxygen concentration. b) Shows the viability of cells grown under low dissolved oxygen concentration. Cell viability was measured by determination of total cellular dehydrogenase activity using CTC as fluorescent dye. The horizontal axis (FL1) shows the fluorescent intensity of TO while the vertical axis (FL3) shows the fluorescent intensity of CTC.

4. Conclusions

In the present study, we isolated AAB from different kinds of vinegar. Then, a targeted screening approach was performed on the isolates considering some important phenotypic features such as growth in different concentrations of ethanol and acetic acid at high temperature, growth in minimal culture media, and susceptibility to low dissolved oxygen. Based on the obtained results, one of the isolates, *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) was able to tolerate, grow, and produce acetic acid at a higher temperature in both enriched and minimal culture media. Three practical consequences can be deduced from these results and must be considered when isolated AAB are planned to be used in the vinegar industry. i) Abundant growth on selective minimal culture media is essential for an isolate to be used in the vinegar industry. In addition, it must remain viable for a long time under lab conditions. ii) Normally, the amount of dissolved oxygen (DO) fluctuates during acetous fermentation, thus an isolate should be checked to see if it can tolerate DO fluctuations. iii) Temperature may also fluctuate during acetous fermentation; therefore, an ideal isolate for vinegar industry should tolerate a wide range of temperatures (30-42°C). Thus, since *Acetobacter tropicalis* (L31) grew well in minimal culture medium in both low and high DO and showed tolerance to high temperatures and DO fluctuations, it seems that it is a potential isolate for vinegar starter production.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The Authors thank the Center for International Scientific Studies & Collaborations (CISSC) for the support of this research to be carry out.

Open access

This article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

- [1]. Álvarez-Cáliz, C., Santos-Dueñas, I. M., Cañete-Rodríguez, A. M., García-Martínez, T., Mauricio, J. C., & García-García, I. (2012). Free amino acids, urea and ammonium ion contents for submerged wine vinegar production: influence of loading rate and air-flow rate. *Acetic Acid Bacteria*, 1(1), 1.
- [2]. Bartowsky, E. J., & Henschke, P. A. (2008). Acetic acid bacteria spoilage of bottled red wine -- a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 125(1), 60-70. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.10.016
- [3]. Brenner, D. J., Krieg, N. R., & Staley, J. T. (2005). *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology: Volume 2: Part C (Vol. 2): Springer Science & Business Media*.
- [4]. Cleenwerck, I., Vandemeulebroecke, K., Janssens, D., & Swings, J. (2002). Re-examination of the genus *Acetobacter*, with descriptions of *Acetobacter cerevisiae* sp. nov. and *Acetobacter malorum* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, 52(Pt 5), 1551-1558. doi:10.1099/00207713-52-5-1551
- [5]. De Vero, L., & Giudici, P. (2008). Genus-specific profile of acetic acid bacteria by 16S rDNA PCR-DGGE. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 125(1), 96-101. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.02.029
- [6]. Gullo, M., Caggia, C., De Vero, L., & Giudici, P. (2006). Characterization of acetic acid bacteria in "traditional balsamic vinegar". *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 106(2), 209-212. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.06.024
- [7]. Gullo, M., De Vero, L., & Giudici, P. (2009). Succession of selected strains of *Acetobacter pasteurianus* and other acetic acid bacteria in traditional balsamic vinegar. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75(8), 2585-2589. doi:10.1128/aem.02249-08
- [8]. Gullo, M., & Giudici, P. (2008). Acetic acid bacteria in traditional balsamic vinegar: phenotypic traits relevant for starter cultures selection. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 125(1), 46-53. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.11.076
- [9]. Gullo, M., Mamlouk, D., Vero, L., & Giudici, P. (2012). *Acetobacter pasteurianus* strain AB0220: Cultivability and

- phenotypic stability over 9 years of preservation. *Current Microbiology*, 64(6), 576-580. doi:10.1007/s00284-012-0112-9
- [10]. Gullo, M., Verzelloni, E., & Canonico, M. (2014). Aerobic submerged fermentation by acetic acid bacteria for vinegar production: process and biotechnological aspects. *Process Biochemistry*, 49(10), 1571-1579.
- [11]. Hutkins, R. W. (2006). *Microbiology and technology of fermented foods* (pp. 485-488).
- [12]. Kebede, A., Viljoen, B. C., Gadaga, T. H., Narvhus, J. A., & Lourens-Hattingh, A. (2007). The effect of container type on the growth of yeast and lactic acid bacteria during production of Sethemi, South African spontaneously fermented milk. *Food Research International*, 40(1), 33-38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2006.07.012
- [13]. Lee, S., Jang, J. K., & Park, Y. S. (2016). Fed-batch fermentation of onion vinegar using *Acetobacter tropicalis*. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 25(5), 1407-1411.
- [14]. Lu, S. F., Lee, F. L., & Chen, H. K. (1999). A thermotolerant and high acetic acid-producing bacterium *Acetobacter* sp. I14-2. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 86(1), 55-62.
- [15]. Mamlouk, D., & Gullo, M. (2013). Acetic acid bacteria: Physiology and carbon sources oxidation. *Indian journal of microbiology*, 1-8. doi:10.1007/s12088-013-0414-z
- [16]. Mounir, M., Shafiei, R., Hamouda, A., Thonart, P., & Ismaili Alaoui, M. (2015). Novel thermotolerant *Acetobacter pasteurianus* strains isolated from Moroccan local products destined to industrial vinegar production using substandard fruits.
- [17]. Mounir, M., Shafiei, R., Zarmehrkhorshid, R., Hamouda, A., Ismaili Alaoui, M., & Thonart, P. (2016). Simultaneous production of acetic and gluconic acids by a thermotolerant *Acetobacter* strain during acetous fermentation in a bioreactor. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 121(2), 166-171.
- [18]. Mounir, M., Shafiei, R., Zarmehrkhorshid, R., Hamouda, A., Thonart, P., Delvigne, F., & Alaoui, M. I. (2016). Optimization of biomass production of *Acetobacter pasteurianus* KU710511 as a potential starter for fruit vinegar production. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 15(27), 1429-1441.
- [19]. Ndoye, B., Cleenwerck, I., Destain, J., Guiro, A. T., & Thonart, P. (2009). Preservation of vinegar acetic acid bacteria. In L. Solieri & P. Giudici (Eds.), *Vinegars of the world* (pp. 61-69): Springer. doi:10.1007/978-88-470-0866-3_4
- [20]. Ndoye, B., Lebecque, S., Destain, J., Guiro, A. T., & Thonart, P. (2007). A new pilot plant scale acetifier designed for vinegar production in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Process Biochemistry*, 42(11), 1561-1565. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2007.08.002
- [21]. Ndoye, B., Lebecque, S., Dubois-Dauphin, R., Tounkara, L., Guiro, A. T., Kere, C., . . . Thonart, P. (2006). Thermoresistant properties of acetic acids bacteria isolated from tropical products of Sub-Saharan Africa and destined to industrial vinegar. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 39(4), 916-923. doi:10.1016/j.enzmictec.2006.01.020
- [22]. Ndoye, B., Weekers, F., Diawara, B., Guiro, A. T., & Thonart, P. (2007). Survival and preservation after freeze-drying process of thermoresistant acetic acid bacteria isolated from tropical products of Subsaharan Africa. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 79(4), 1374-1382. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.04.036
- [23]. Qi, Z., Yang, H., Xia, X., Quan, W., Wang, W., & Yu, X. (2014). Achieving high strength vinegar fermentation via regulating cellular growth status and aeration strategy. *Process Biochemistry*, 49(7), 1063-1070. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2014.03.018
- [24]. Shafiei, R., Delvigne, F., Babanezhad, M., & Thonart, P. (2013). Evaluation of viability and growth of *Acetobacter senegalensis* under different stress conditions. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 163(2-3), 204-213. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.03.011
- [25]. Shafiei, R., Delvigne, F., & Thonart, P. (2013). Flow-cytometric assessment of damages to *Acetobacter senegalensis* during freeze-drying process and storage. *Acetic Acid Bacteria*, 2(s1), e10.
- [26]. Shafiei, R., Zarmehrkhorshid, R., Bentaib, A., Babanezhad, M., Leprince, P., Delvigne, F., & Thonart, P. (2014). The role of protein modifications in senescence of freeze-dried *Acetobacter senegalensis* during storage. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 13(1), 1-16. doi:10.1186/1475-2859-13-26
- [27]. Shafiei, R., Zarmehrkhorshid, R., Mounir, M., Thonart, P., & Delvigne, F. (2017). Influence of carbon sources on the viability and resuscitation of *Acetobacter senegalensis* during high-temperature gluconic acid fermentation. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 1-12.
- [28]. Sokollek, S. J., & Hammes, W. P. (1997). Description of a starter culture preparation for vinegar fermentation. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 20(3), 481-491. doi:10.1016/s0723-2020(97)80017-3
- [29]. Sokollek, S. J., Hertel, C., & Hammes, W. P. (1998). Cultivation and preservation of vinegar bacteria. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 60(3), 195-206. doi:10.1016/s0168-1656(98)00014-5

- [30]. Tesfaye, W., Morales, M. L., García-Parrilla, M. C., & Troncoso, A. M. (2002). Wine vinegar: technology, authenticity and quality evaluation. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 13(1), 12-21. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244\(02\)00023-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(02)00023-7)
- [31]. Zheng, Y., Chang, Y., Zhang, R., Song, J., Xu, Y., Liu, J., & Wang, M. (2018). Two-stage oxygen supply strategy based on energy metabolism analysis for improving acetic acid production by *Acetobacter pasteurianus*. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology*, 1-8.